Climate Change and Various Green Tools

Naoyuki YOSHINO

Emeritus Professor, Keio University, Japan Director Financial Research Center, JFSA Former Dean/CEO, ADBInstitute yoshino@econ.keio.ac.jp





1, Climate Change and Transitional Finance Green bond, Carbon Pricing ESG Rating Carbon Tax

2, Distortion of Optimal Portfolio Allocation

3, Measurement of CO2 \rightarrow No distortion

Current ESG investment: distort asset allocation 1, Traditional asset allocation : two-parameter approach (i) Rate of Return (R), (ii) Risks (σ^2) 2, ESG component is added for the asset allocation (iii) ESG (Greenness score): multi-factor model 3, ESG criteria is different from one rating agency to another

4, Each Investor changes its' asset allocation based on specific score of ESG given by the rating agency

Different Evaluation score of ESG by various Rating Agencies

E-scores Environment

Table 1: Rating methods provided by major ESG rating agencies

ESG Score	Evaluation criteria overview
Bloomberg ESG Disclosure	Evaluated based on the degree of disclosure. Environmental
Scores	aspects are evaluated based on the degree of disclosure.
FTSE Russell's ESG Ratings	ESG risks are evaluated based on disclosure, commitment to policy formulation and improvement, etc. In terms of the environment, in addition to disclosure, we evaluate the existence of policies and commitments to improvement.
MSCI ESG Ratings	Evaluated based on <u>37 key ESG issues</u> (ESG key issues). The environment side is also evaluated by setting a key issue.
Sustainalytics' ESG Risk Ratings	Based on ESG measures, information disclosure, and the level of problems. The same is true in terms of the environment.
Thomson Reuters ESG Scores	10 items: for the Environment factor, resource use, emissions, and innovation; for Society factor, employees, human rights, local communities, and product responsibility; and on Governance, management, shareholders, and CSR strategy. Regarding the environment, evaluated based on actual carbon emissions and whether or not there is a policy.

(Source) Created by the authors after processing part of the data of Yoshino and Yuyama (2021), Yuyama (2020), and each rating agency.

Different ESG scores by different Rating agencies

ESG Score

ESG score of company A

ESG score of company B

Value of α Asset Allocation



FIGURE 1 PRICE EVOLUTION IN SELECTED ETSs FROM 2018 TO 2023

120

(Source) World Bank: State of Carbon Pricing 2023



Note: Based on data from ICAP Allowance Price Explorer. Prices for the RGGI initiative and for California and Québec CaT, come from the primary market, whereas for the other systems the prices reflect the secondary market

Net Carbon Tax= Carbon -- Greenness EffortsTAX(planting trees)(setting up solar power)



Optimal portfolio allocation can be achieved by taxing on carbon emission

Company A's return after carbon tax: $\underline{R}_A = R_A - (Carbon Tax TA)$

Risks After Carbon Tax: <u> σ </u> A

Company B's return after carbon tax: $\underline{R}_{B} = R_{B} - (Carbon Tax TB)$

Risk After Carbon Tax: $\underline{\sigma}_{\underline{B}}$

Carbon Trading and Carbon Pricing



Firm A

Firm B



FirmA

Green Bond Principles (GBP) 2018 June 2018 **Green Bond Ratings Green Bond** Principles (i) renewable energy have to be based on Voluntary Process Guidelines for (ii) energy efficiency Issuing Green Bonds **GHG emissions** (iii) pollution prevention and control International (iv) environmentally sustainable management of living natural resources and land use **Capital Market** (v) terrestrial and aquatic biodiversity conservation Association (vi) clean transportation (vii) sustainable water and wastewater management ICMA Paris Representative Office (viii) climate change adaptation 62 rue la Boétie 75008 Paris (iX) eco-efficient and/or circular economy adapted products, production technologies and processes France (X) green buildings which meet regional, national or internationally Tel: +33 1 70 17 64 70 recognized standards or certifications. Source: The Green Bond Principles: Voluntary Process Guidelines for Issuing Green Bonds, greenbonds@icmagroup.org

ICMA, June 2018

03/13/2024 Naoyuki YOSHINO

Green Credit Rating	Carbon Tax	Green Bond	Carbon Pricing
θ×{0.8(CO₂) + 0.2(N₂O)}	$t \times \{0.8(CO_2) + 0.2(N_2O)\}$	$ \vartheta \times \{ 0.8(CO_2) + 0.2(N_2O) \} $	$P = \frac{(d_0 - S_0) - 2\Delta X}{(d_1 + S_1)}$ $P = \{0.8(CO_2) + 0.2(N_2O)\}$

Measure: Amount of CO_2 and N_2O Emissions $0.8x(CO_2)+0.2x(N_2O)$ 80%20%

Examples of Credit Scoring, GHG Tax and Green Bonds based on GHG emissions

Green Credit Rating	CO ₂ Emission	N ₂ O Emission	Green Credit Rating	GHG Tax	Green Bond Scoring
AAA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
AA	2.1	1.5	1.98	1.98	1.98
Α	4.2	3.2	4.00	4.00	4.00
BBB	7.0	6.4	6.88	6.88	6.88
BB	8.3	7.0	8.04	8.04	8.04
В	9.1	8.7	9.02	9.02	9.02
С	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Global Warming	Weight 80%	Weight 20%	Based on GHG	0.8tax(CO ₂)+ 0.2tax(N ₂ O)	Based on GHG

1,Carbon Trading	2, Carbon Credit Rating		3,Carbon Tax	
$P = (d_0 - s_0 - 2\Delta X)/(d_1 + s_1)$	Rating	CO ₂	t=tax rate	
Carbon Price		Emission Credit	4,Green Bonds	
trading		Scoring	Transition to	
CAP		2.1	Net Zero	
	A BBB	4. 2 7.0	CAP: adjustment Scoring:adjusted	
	BB	8.3	Taxrate:adjusted	
	C	10.0	13	

All the schools at Yokohama City (West of Tokyo) Primary Schools and Secondary Schools measure **CO2** Emissions



Asian Economic Papers: MIT Press, 2023, Vol.22, No3. PP.81-96 https://doi.org/10.1162/asep_a_00871

Diversified ESG Evaluation by Rating Agencies and Net Carbon Tax to Regain Optimal Portfolio Allocation^{*}

Naoyuki Yoshino

Keio University and Japan Financial Services Agency yoshino@econ.keio.ac.jp

Tomonori Yuyama Japan Financial Services Agency

Farhad Taghizadeh-Hesary Tokai University

Thank you for your attention

Naoyuki YOSHINO Professor Emeritus, Keio University Former Dean-CEO ADB Institute (ADBI) Director, Financial Research Center, JFSA