

Macroprudential policies – rationale, taxonomy and relationships

Erlend Nier, IMF

21 Jan 2010

Prudential policies: some language

- Microprudential policies:
 - Seek to ensure safety and soundness of **individual institutions**
- Macroprudential orientation:
 - Takes a **system view**
 - system may be unstable even if all individual institutions are sound

Prudential policies: some clarifications

- Macroprudential policy
 - **cannot target the system.**
 - must work at the level of individual institutions to be effective.
 - **cannot** be pursued **in isolation** from microprudential policies.
 - needs to care about soundness of individual institutions, especially those that are **systemically important**

Prudential policies – two objectives

- “Microprudential”: **Investor (consumer) protection**
 - Safety and soundness of institution is **means** to ensure protection of those who have claims on financial institutions
 - e.g. depositors, bondholders, holders of insurance claims and pensions
 - Rationale is asymmetric information between issuers and buyers of such claims.
- “Macroprudential”: **Mitigation of systemic risk**

Systemic risk - a definition

- Risk of disruption to the provision of financial services that
 - results from an impairment of the financial sector
 - risks having a material adverse effect on the real economy
 - (IMF, 2009)

Systemic risk – two dimensions

- Time dimension (pro-cyclicality)
- (= macro-systemic risk)

- Cross-sectional dimension
- (=micro-systemic risk)

Systemic risk: time-dimension

- Macro-systemic risk: Leveraged exposures to aggregate (correlated) risks
- Aggregate risks often manifest in changes in asset prices
 - stock prices (e.g. U.S. Great Depression)
 - real estate, (e.g. Japan's Lost Decade, 2007/8 U.S. crisis)
 - exchange rates (e.g. carry trades in Iceland and Eastern Europe)
- Crystallization of risks (reversal of prices)
 - leads to defaults and fire-sales
 - weakens providers of leverage
 - leads to curtailment of credit to the economy at large.

Systemic risk: cross-sectional dimension

- Micro-systemic risk: risk of impairment of the financial sector from failure of **individual institution**, through
 - **Lack of substitutes** for services provided (e.g. credit or payment services)
 - **Direct linkages** (exposures between institutions)
 - **Fire-sale of assets**
 - **Informational contagion** (in the presence of fragile funding structures)

Macro and micro-systemic risk

- Realization of macro-systemic risk increases micro-systemic risk
 - Crystallization of aggregate risk
 - increases risk of individual failure
 - weakens all financial firms
 - increases the potency of interlinkages in creating knock-on defaults (see Nier et al 2007)
 - further weakening the system
 - Example: Lehman

Systemic risk externalities

- Private agents likely to underinsure against systemic risk
 - creating a **rationale** for **intervention**
- Private risk management is
 - unlikely to internalize **macro-systemic externalities** (from aggregate weakness)
 - exacerbated by expectations of public support (**too many to fail**)
 - unlikely to internalize **micro-systemic externalities** (from individual failure)
 - exacerbated by expectations of public support (**too important to fail**)

Relationships: investor protection and systemic risk mitigation

- Two objectives often complementary
- But: systemic risk mitigation may call for changes in the design, calibration and application of (prudential) tools.
- Example:
 - deposit insurance
 - needed for investor protection
 - useful to reduce systemic risk
 - reduces chance of generalized run
 - when funded ex-post can become procyclical and weaken the financial sector.

Relationships: other tools to mitigate systemic risk

- Monetary policy
- Oversight
 - of payment, settlement and clearing arrangements
- Resolution tools
 - including deposit insurance, special resolution tools

Prudential policy needs to play a **supporting** role and be **mindful of limitations** of other policies

Relationships: monetary policy

- Prudential regulation is needed (alongside monetary policy) to more directly affect macro-systemic risk
 - **Capital buffers** can cushion the effect of unwinding (asset price reversals) on providers of leverage
 - to reduce the impact on the economy
 - **Capital requirements** can penalize exposure to particular aggregate risks
 - to reduce the probability of a build-up
 - E.g. prohibitive requirements for foreign currency mortgages

Relationships: oversight

- Impact of individual failure depends on the robustness of the **clearing and payments** landscape
 - Crisis has shown up weakness in OTC derivatives markets
- **Oversight** of existing systems is insufficient to encourage the development and use of robust systems.
- **Prudential regulation** of system users (banks) needs to reflect counterparty credit risks arising from insufficiently robust systems
 - E.g. high **capital requirements** for
 - trades lacking a central counterparty,
 - for foreign exchange transactions settled outside of CLS

Relationships: resolution

- The failure of some institutions may not be credibly resolved without implicating **public funds**.
 - Even if all financial trading was centrally cleared and all potentially systemic institutions were subject to special resolution regimes
- **Prudential regulation** is needed to reduce the **probability of failure**.
 - Capital (and liquidity) requirements need to be increasing in the systemic risk posed by any given institution
 - Unlike under Basel II, where the same solvency standard applies to all banking institutions.

Relationships: resolution

- Strength of **prudential control** needs to increase in the **systemic risk** posed by an individual institution.
- **Systemic risk** a function of
 - **characteristics of the firm**
 - Size, interconnectedness and lack of substitutability
 - **environment (legal infrastructure)**
 - robustness of clearing and settlement
 - ease of application of special resolution tools

In sum: prudential regulation and systemic risk

- Prudential regulation needs to
 - reduce probability and impact of macro-systemic risk (capital requirements and buffers)
 - Complementing monetary policy
 - reduce impact of individual failure by discouraging counterparty credit risk (e.g. from insufficiently robust clearing in OTC markets)
 - Complementing oversight
 - reduce probability of individual failure by being sensitive to systemic risk posed by an individual institution
 - Complementing resolution tools

References

- **Acharya and Yorulmazer (2007):** Too Many to Fail - An Analysis of Time inconsistency in Bank Closure Policies, *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, 16, pp. 1–31.
- **Borio and Shim (2007)** What can (Macro-) Prudential Policy Do to Support Monetary Policy? BIS Working Paper No. 242.
- **Borio and White (2004)** Whither Monetary and Financial Stability? The Implications of Evolving Policy Regimes, BIS Working Paper No. 147.
- **Brunnermeier et al (2009)** The Fundamental Principles of Financial Regulation, Geneva Reports on the World Economy, 11, CEPR.
- **Crocket (2000):** Marrying the micro- and macro-prudential dimensions of financial stability
- **IMF et al (2009):** Guidance to Assess the Systemic Importance of Financial Institutions, Markets and Instruments: Initial Considerations
- **Manning et al (2009):** The Economics of Large-Value Payments and Settlement, OUP
- **Nier (2009):** Financial Stability Frameworks and the Role of Central Banks
- **Nier et al (2007)** Network Models and Financial Stability, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*